



March 2, 2017

Ex Parte Notice

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

RE: Applications of Charter Communications, Inc., Time Warner Cable Inc., and Advance/Newhouse Partnership for Consent to Assign or Transfer Control of Licenses and Authorizations, MB Docket No. 15-149

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On Wednesday, March 1, 2017, the undersigned of NTCA – The Rural Broadband Association (“NTCA”) spoke via telephone with Robin Colwell, advisor to Commissioner Michael O’Rielly. NTCA urged Commission action on its petition seeking reconsideration of the residential build-out requirement imposed as part of the Federal Communications Commission’s (“Commission”) approval of the above-referenced merger application.

NTCA pointed out that it did not seek reconsideration of the merger approval, but rather the residential build out requirement as applied to out-of-market areas, particularly, but not exclusively, where the condition may put at risk or undermine other broadband build-out initiatives.

The Commission failed to give notice that it was considering a forced competition requirement, announcing it only in a press release after the order was drafted and circulating for approval. It veered drastically from what was originally proposed in the merger application and was not transaction-specific. This rush to push out a competitive build out requirement likely led to a lack of forethought and coordination with other Commission initiatives. The Commission has several, relatively nascent steps underway – utilizing several billion dollars per year of universal service fund resources – aimed at reaching currently unserved consumers.

Because of the lack of coordination, resources could be wasted as one could see currently unserved areas become served by two different firms -- one investing to satisfy regulator-imposed merger conditions and the other investing pursuant to regulator-driven, USF-supported buildout obligations – while other unserved areas would continue to sit without any broadband services at all.

New Charter would be building out because of a regulatory requirement, not because market or economic conditions. It will be forced to use resources that might better be used to improve

Marlene H. Dortch

March 2, 2017

Page 2 of 2

service to existing customers or expand service to unserved customers. Forced competition in areas that cannot support competition (or in many areas, even a single network) could result in companies cutting back services or exiting markets. In the extreme, if the single emergent provider is New Charter, it has no obligation to maintain “reasonable comparability” in service or price. There is no obligation to ensure quality and affordability to customers. New Charter’s only obligation under the over-build condition is to build.

NTCA reiterated its request that the Commission reconsider and rescind the residential build-out requirement.

Thank you for your attention to this correspondence. Pursuant to Section 1.1206 of the Commission’s rules, a copy of this letter is being filed via ECFS.

Sincerely,

/s/ Jill Canfield

Jill Canfield

V.P. Legal & Industry

Assistant General Counsel

cc: Robin Colwell